



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,777	09/28/2001	David A. Lee	42390P11152	5083
75	590 04/05/2005		EXAM	INER
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF,			SCHUBERT, KEVIN R	
TAYLOR & ZA	AFMAN LLP			
Seventh Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2137	
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025-1026			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/966,777	LEE, DAVID A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kevin Schubert	2137			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	7.5 1 5 5 5 5				
Period fo	Period for Reply					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	September 2001.				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.					
7)						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 Se<i>ptember</i> 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>09282001</u> .	6)  Other:				

10

15

20

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-45 have been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,7-12,15,18-22,25-31,34-39,42, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richards, U.S. Patent No. 6,069,957.

As per claims 1,10,15,19,28,37, and 42, the applicant describes a method comprising the following limitations which are met by Richards:

- a) generating a list of update keys on a key distribution center system based on a table of secret keys identifying valid and invalid receivers of a plurality of receivers, said list of update keys allowing valid receivers to decrypt a valid content key using update keys obtained from the list of update keys (Col 4, lines 52-67; Col 9, lines 12-31);
- b) generating a multiple nested list of decryption patterns based on the list of update keys (Col 9, lines 12-31);
- c) broadcasting said multiple nested list of decryption patterns to the plurality of receivers (Col 1, lines 25-31);
  - d) recovering a content key from the list of update keys by recovering a set of update keys for each receiver from the multiple nested list of decryption patterns and using the set of update keys to decrypt the content key (Col 9, lines 12-31);

10

15

20

25

Regarding part a), the key distribution center maintains a list or table of all the secret customer keys. The table identifies valid and invalid receivers because the valid receivers have a secret customer key in the table and the invalid receivers are the ones that are not reflected in the table.

As per claims 2,11,20,29, and 38, the applicant describes the method of claims 1,10,19,28, and 37, which are met by Richards (see above), with the following limitation which is also met by Richards:

Wherein generating a list of update keys comprises generating at least one intermediate key and one content key (Col 9, lines 12-31);

The intermediate keys are the CUSTOMER\_CODE, which is the unique secret key of the customers maintained in the table of valid receivers, and the PK. The content key is the SK.

As per claims 3,12,21,30, and 39, the applicant describes the method of claims 2,11,20,29, and 38, which are met by Richards (see above), with the following limitation which is also met by Richards:

Wherein said generating at least one intermediate key and one content key comprises randomly generating said at least one intermediate key and one content key (Col 13, lines 48-49).

As per claims 4,22, and 31, the applicant describes the method of claims 3,21, and 30, which are met by Richards (see above), with the following limitation which is also met by Richards:

Wherein authorized receivers will receive an intermediate key that allows recovery of a valid content key and unauthorized receivers will receive an intermediate key that does not allow recovery of a valid content key (Col 14, lines 39-49);

In a second embodiment of the invention (Col 11, lines 14-22) which is similar to the embodiment described in the rejection for claim 1, Richards discloses that the intermediate key CAK can be changed according to such things like billing practices. Those who have the valid updated CAK intermediate key will be able to get to the content key, SK, while those who do not have the valid updated CAK (such as those who haven't paid their bill) have an intermediate key which does not allow the recovery of the content key, SK.

10

15

20

25

As per claims 7,18,25,34, and 45, the applicant describes the method of claims 1,15,19,28, and 42, which are met by Richards (see above), with the following limitation which is also met by Richards:

Wherein said recovering a set of update keys for each receiver from the multiple nested list of decryption patterns comprises parsing said multiple nested list of decryption patterns to locate an entry intended for a particular receiver based on detection of a predetermined test pattern included in an entry in the multiple nested list of decryption patterns (Col 9, lines 63-67);

The applicant discloses that "the purpose of the test pattern is to enable the receivers to locate keys intended for that receiver within the list of keys" (Applicant: page 11). The predetermined test pattern is therefore included to alert the receiver of how to decode and parse the incoming data with regard to the levels of encryption and the various keys used to encrypt the data for the receiver. Richards discloses that a header, or predetermined test pattern, alerts the receiver that the packet is a code packet and tells the receiver how to handle it.

As per claims 8,26, and 35, the applicant describes the method of claims 1,19, and 28, which are met by Richards (see above), with the following limitation which is also met by Richards:

Further comprising broadcasting content encrypted with said content key (Col 9, lines 26-31).

As per claims 9,27, and 36, the applicant describes the method of claims 8,26, and 35, which are met by Richards (see above), with the following additional limitation which is also met by Richards:

Further comprising decrypting said content encrypted with said content key using a content key recovered from the multiple nested list of decryption patterns (Col 9, lines 26-31).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2137

5

10

15

20

25

30

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-6,13-14,16-17,23-24,32-33,40-41,43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richards in view of Uz, U.S. Patent No. 6,351,538.

As per claims 5,13,16,23,32,40, and 43, the applicant describes the method of claims 1,10,19,28,37, and 42, which are met by Richards (see above), with the following limitation which is met by Uz:

Wherein said generating a multiple nested list of decryption patterns comprises encrypting an entry of the list of update keys using a key that is a combination of a previous update key, a secret key for a receiver associated with the entry of the list of update keys, and an index indicating a location in said table of secret keys associated with each entry (Uz: Col 8, lines 25-31; Richards: Col 9, lines 12-31);

Richards discloses all the limitations of claims 1,10,19,28,37, and 42. Richards also discloses encrypting an entry of the list of update keys using a key that is a combination of a previous update key and a secret key for a receiver associated with the entry of the list of update keys. This is done because the content key, SK, is an encryption of a previous update key, PK, and a secret key for a receiver associated with the entry of the list of update keys, CUSTOMER CODE.

Richards fails to disclose an "index indicating a location in said table of secret keys associated with each entry". Uz discloses a one way broadcasting system which broadcasts key and content information for conditional access systems, such as a pay-per-view system. Uz system also discloses the use of maintaining key tables. Furthermore, Uz discloses the idea of transmitting an index indicating a location in the table of secret keys which can be used to locate keys for decryption.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was filed to combine the ideas of Uz with those of Richards and incorporate the use of an index indicating a location in a table of secret keys so that the receiver can use the index to locate secret keys for decryption.

10

15

20

25

As per claims 6,14,17,24,33,41, and 44, the applicant describes the method of claims 5,13,16,23,32,40, and 43, which are met by Richards (see above), with the following additional limitation which is met by Uz:

Wherein an entry in said multiple nested list of decryption patterns includes a predetermined test pattern encrypted with the secret keys for a receiver associated with the entry of the list of update keys (Col 9, lines 63-67);

Richards discloses all the limitations of claims 5,13,16,23,32,40, and 43. However Richards fails to disclose the use of encrypting the predetermined test pattern with the secret keys for a receiver. As discussed in the rejection for claim 7, the predetermined test pattern which provides decryption instructions for the receiver corresponds to the header of Richards' system which, like the predetermined test pattern, provides decryption instructions. In short, Richards' system discloses all the limitations of the above claim except for the limitation of encrypting the header information.

Uz discloses a one way broadcasting system which broadcasts key and content information for conditional access systems, such as a pay-per-view system. Furthermore, Uz discloses the idea of encrypting header information (Col 6, lines 13-14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was filed to combine the ideas of Uz and Richards and encrypt the header of information of Richards' system because doing so would make the system more secure and less vulnerable to hackers being able to intercept the broadcast and know information about the data.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Schubert whose telephone number is (571) 272-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 2137

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from
either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through
Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should
you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)
at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10

5

\*\*\*

ANDREW CALDWELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

andrew Caldwa